



INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1295]

Certain Integrated Circuit Products and Devices Containing the Same; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 29, 2021, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Future Link Systems, LLC of Santa Clara, California. A supplement was filed on January 18, 2022. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuit products and devices containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,685,439 (“the ’439 patent”) and U.S. Patent No. 8,099,614 (“the ’614 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2021).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 25, 2022, ORDERED THAT –

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-6 of the '439 patent and 1-9 of the '614 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “processors, mobile phones, tablets, personal computers, and streaming devices containing processors”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Future Link Systems, LLC
3945 Freedom Circle, Suite 900
Santa Clara, CA 95054

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.
2485 Augustine Drive
Santa Clara, CA 95054

Apple, Inc.
One Apple Park Way
Cupertino, CA 95014

Broadcom Inc.
1320 Ridder Park Drive
San Jose, CA 95131

Broadcom Corp.
1320 Ridder Park Drive
San Jose, CA 95131

Qualcomm Inc.
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121

Qualcomm Technologies Inc.

5775 Morehouse Drive

San Diego, CA 92121

Amlogic Holdings Ltd.

Collas Crill Corporate Services Limited

P.O. Box 709

Floor 2, Willow House, Cricket Square

Grand Cayman, KY1-107

Cayman Islands

Amlogic (CA) Co., Inc.

2518 Mission College Blvd., Suite 120

Santa Clara, CA 95054

Realtek Semiconductor Corp.

2 Innovation Road II

Hsinchu Science Park, Hsinchu 300,

Taiwan

Dell Technologies Inc.

One Dell Way

Round Rock, TX 78682

HP INC.

1501 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304

Acer Inc.

8F, 88 Sec. 1, Xintai 5th Rd.

Xizhi, New Taipei City 221,

Taiwan

Acer America Corp.

1730 N. First St., Suite 400

San Jose, CA 95112

Lenovo Group Ltd.

New Town Plaza Phase 1

Hong Kong

China

Lenovo (United States) Inc.

1009 Think Place

Morrisville, North Carolina 27560

Motorola Mobility LLC

222 W. Merchandise Mart Plaza, Suite 1800

Chicago, Illinois 60654

Google LLC

1600 Amphitheatre Parkway

Mountain View, CA 94043

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Suite 401, Washington, D.C. 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainant of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 26, 2022.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.